Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., Plaintiffs,

VS.

ERIC HOYES,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

Case No.: 12-CV-02509 YGR

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO REMAND; REMANDING ACTION; DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

This case was removed from Alameda County Superior Court where it was pending as an unlawful detainer action against pro se Defendant Eric Hoyes. Mr. Hoyes removed this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 invoking this Court's federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 on the basis that he intends to raise a defense under the Protecting Tenants from Foreclosure Act ("PTFA"), 12 U.S.C. §§ 5201 et seq.

Plaintiff has filed a motion to remand on the grounds that Defendant has failed to establish the existence of federal subject matter jurisdiction.

The Court **Grants** the motion for remand because no federal question is presented in this action.1

The complaint asserts only one state law claim for unlawful detainer. Thus, there is no federal question. A defense under the PTFA does not establish a basis for federal jurisdiction. Caterpillar Inc. v. Williams, 482 U.S. 386 (1987) (under the "well-pleaded complaint" rule, a case may not be removed on the basis of a federal defense). Finally, the amount in controversy does not meet the

¹ Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court finds that this motion is appropriate for decision without oral argument. Accordingly, the Court VACATES the hearing set for July 17, 2012.

##